

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/617,837	07/14/2003		Cheng-Da Shaw	3313-0993P 7996	
2292	7590	09/09/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STI	EWART	KOLASCH & BIR	THOMPSON, TIMOTHY J		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, ,,	.1 223.3 07.7		2873	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/617,837	SHAW ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Timothy J Thompson	2873					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 4-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4,6-8,11,12 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5,9,10 and 13 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the case Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ⊠ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 6-8, 11, 12, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaing et al.(U.S. Pat. Pub 2001/0030789) in view of Deane(U.S. Patent No. 6,497,518).

Regarding claim 4, Jaing et al. discloses a base(fig 2, 205); at least one printed circuit board being installed on the base(fig 2, 106); at least one opto-electronic module electrically connected to the printed circuit board for emitting/receiving optical signals(fig 2, 110, 111), and a case covering and shielding the base, the opto-electronic module and the printed circuit board(fig 2, 119). Jiang et al. does not disclose a case covering and shielding around the base, the opto-electronic module and the printed circuit board. However, Deane discloses a case covering and shielding around the base, the opto-electronic module and the printed circuit board (fig 2, 110), additionally, coupling the sleeve to the semiconductor package stating that by coupling the sleeve to the semiconductor package dissipates heat through the exposed surface area of the sleeve as well as screening EMI. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention, to form the case as a sleeve into which the base is received so as to cover the outside surface of the base as shown by Deane, in the optical transceiver

Art Unit: 2873

of Jiang et al., since as shown by Deane, a case shaped as a sleeve into which the base is received so as to cover the outside surface of the base are commonly used with transceivers so as to dissipate heat as quickly as possible, since this maximizes the surface area of the base cover, as well as shield the EMI.

Regarding claim 6, Jaing et al. discloses the printed circuit board contains a plurality of pins(fig 2, 113).

Regarding claim 7, Jaing et al. discloses the case has openings for pins of the printed circuit board to stick out of the case and to form an electrical connection with the electronic device(the case inherently must have openings so that the pins protruding through the base can form an electrical connection).

Regarding claim 8, Jaing et al. discloses the base defines at least one fixing position for the printed circuit board installed thereon(fig 2, 240).

Regarding claim 11, Jaing et al. discloses the base has connector sockets(fig 2, 240).

Regarding claim 12, Jaing et al. discloses the case has four sidewalls parallel to a longitudinal axis of the base to form a sleeve shape.

5. (New) The packaging of claim 4, wherein the case has a sliding track and the base has a sliding groove, the sliding track and the sliding groove matching each other so that the base is combined with the case by sliding into it.

Regarding claim 14, Jaing et al. discloses the a plurality of electronic elements(fig 2, 110, 110).

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 15, Jaing et al. discloses a laser module(fig 2, 110).

Regarding claim 16, Jaing et al. discloses a receptacle and a detector(fig 2, 111, 213).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 9, 10, 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The allowable features being; the case has a sliding track and the base has a sliding groove(claim 5); the base uses a central beam design(claim 9); the opto-electronic module connects the case directly(claim 10); the case has several holes on the sidewalls(claim 13).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/617,837 Page 5

Art Unit: 2873

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2342. If the examiner can not be reached his supervisor, Georgia Epps, can be reached on (571) 272-2328.

Dim Dhompson

T.J.T.

8/25/04

Rechnology Center 2800 georgia Examina